

## Effect of closed shell on heavy-ion fusion reaction

H. Ikezoe, K. Satou, S. Mitsuoka, K. Nishio, K. Tsuruta, C.J. Lin<sup>1</sup> and S.C. Jeong<sup>2</sup>

*Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan*

<sup>1</sup>*China Institute of Atomic Energy, P.O. Box 275, 102413 Beijing, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan*

It is well known that in the heavy-ion fusion reaction, the fusion probability decreases from unity as the charge product of projectile and target exceeds about 1800 even if projectile has enough kinetic energy to surmount a fusion barrier. This fact, so called extra-push phenomena, is a large obstacle on the way to synthesis of the super-heavy elements. The fusion between massive nuclei depends on not only the charge product but also the nuclear structure of projectile and target [1,2]. Oganessian et al. [3] have reported the measurements of evaporation residues in the fusion reactions  $^{130}\text{Xe}+^{86}\text{Kr}$  and  $^{136}\text{Xe}+^{86}\text{Kr}$ , where the nucleus  $^{136}\text{Xe}$  has a closed neutron shell  $N=82$  and the neutron number of the nucleus  $^{130}\text{Xe}$  is 76, six neutrons less than the closed shell. The nucleus  $^{86}\text{Kr}$  has also the magic number  $N=50$ . They found that the measured evaporation residue cross-sections for the reaction  $^{136}\text{Xe}+^{86}\text{Kr}$  are almost 2-3 orders of magnitude larger than those for the fusion reaction  $^{130}\text{Xe}+^{86}\text{Kr}$  near the Coulomb barrier. Recently, we have measured the fusion evaporation residues in the reactions  $^{82}\text{Se}+^{134}\text{Ba}$  and  $^{82}\text{Se}+^{138}\text{Ba}$  [4] near the Coulomb barrier, where  $^{138}\text{Ba}$  has the neutron closed shell  $N=82$  and  $^{134}\text{Ba}$  has a neutron number 78, four neutrons less than the closed shell. The projectile  $^{82}\text{Se}$  has 48 neutrons, two neutrons less than the magic number  $N=50$ . The evaporation residues were measured by using a recoil-mass separator (JAERI-RMS). The measured evaporation residue cross-sections of  $xn$  and  $pxn$  channels for the reaction system  $^{82}\text{Se}+^{138}\text{Ba}$  were considerably larger than those for the reaction system  $^{82}\text{Se}+^{134}\text{Ba}$ , almost 100 times larger at the excitation energy of 20-30 MeV. The reduced cross section, which is the cross section divided by  $\delta(\ddot{\epsilon}/2\delta)^2$ , was also the largest in the reaction system  $^{82}\text{Se}+^{138}\text{Ba}$  compared with the other reaction systems which make the same compound nucleus  $^{220}\text{Th}$  as the reaction system  $^{82}\text{Se}+^{138}\text{Ba}$ , except the reaction system  $^{16}\text{O}+^{204}\text{Pb}$ . We measured the evaporation residue cross sections of  $^{16}\text{O}+^{204}\text{Pb}$  and found that the reduced cross section for the system  $^{16}\text{O}+^{204}\text{Pb}$  was the one order of magnitude larger than those of the reaction system  $^{82}\text{Se}+^{138}\text{Ba}$ . This is consistent with the recent result of Hinde et al. [5]. The present result shows that the fusion of the reaction system  $^{82}\text{Se}+^{138}\text{Ba}$  is really hindered, while the neutron shell closure  $N=82$  also plays an important role in the enhancement of the formation probability of the compound nucleus in the massive reaction system. We also measured the evaporation residues in the reactions  $^{86}\text{Kr}+^{134,138}\text{Ba}$  and  $^{82}\text{Se}+^{\text{nat}}\text{Ce}$ . Together with these data, we will discuss the effect of  $N=82$  shell closure of target nucleus on heavy-ion fusion reaction.

### References

- [1] A. B. Quint et al., *Z. Phys. A* **346**, 119 (1993), and also see K.-H. Schmidt and W. Morawek, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, **54**, 949 (1991).
- [2] Yu. Ts. Oganessian et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **64**, 054606 (2001).
- [3] Yu. Ts. Oganessian, "Heavy Elements and Related new Phenomena" (edited by W. Greiner and R. J. Gupta, World Scientific) Vol.1 pp.43-67.
- [4] Satou, et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **65**, 054602 (2002).
- [5] D. J. Hinde et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 282701 (2002).